

**REMARKS**

This communication is responsive to the Office Action mailed February 17, 2004. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8-13, 16, 20-25, 29, and 31-41 have been canceled without disclaimer or prejudice to the filing of one or more applications based on the subject matter of these claims. Claims 3, 7, 14, 15, 17, 18, 26, and 30 have been amended.

Claims 3-5, 7, 14-15, 17-19, 26-28, 30, 42-55 remain pending in the application ( 10 independent claims, 27 claims total).

Previous Election

Applicants acknowledge that claims 31-41 have been withdrawn from consideration pursuant to a previously-filed election.

Objection to the Disclosure

The Examiner objects to various informalities in the specification. The present Amendment addresses each of these informalities.

Objections to the Drawings

The Examiner objects to the drawings, arguing that the figures must show openings partially or completely filled with vibration-dampening material such as plastic or the like. Applicants respectfully traverse this objection, as an individual skilled in the art would understand, from the drawings and specification as filed, the meaning of "partially or completely filled opening." Nevertheless, Figure 12 (a substitute of which is attached) and

the corresponding section of the specification, have been amended to further clarify this phrase. No new matter has been added.

#### Claim 16 and 24 Informalities

The Examiner objects to claim 16 due to lack of antecedent basis, and has rejected to claim 24 due to recitation of an industry standard. Both claims 16 and 24 have been cancelled without prejudice by the present Amendment, and this objection is therefore rendered moot.

#### Section 102 and 103 Rejections

Claims 1, 2, 6, 20, and 29 stand rejected to under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent No. 9-222248. Claims 8-12, 16, and 21-25 stand rejected to under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over this same reference.

Each of these claims has been cancelled without prejudice by the present Amendment. These rejections are therefore rendered moot.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 3-5, 7, 13-15, 17-19, 26-28, and 30 stand objected to, but the Examiner has stated that they would be allowable if rewritten to incorporate their respective base claims and any intervening claims. These claims have been amended accordingly.


#### Conclusion:

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance, and earnestly solicits a Notice of Allowance at the Examiner's

earliest convenience. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if such would advance prosecution of this Application in any way.

Dated this 17<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2004.

By

  
Daniel R. Pote  
U.S. Reg. No. 43,011

**SNELL & WILMER LLP.**  
One Arizona Center  
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202  
Phone: (602) 382-6325  
Fax: (602) 382-6070  
dpote@swlaw.com